

Lecture 16: Morphology (ch 7) & Image Matching (ch 13)

ch. 7 and ch. 13 of *Machine Vision* by Wesley E. Snyder &
Hairong Qi

Spring 2018

16-725 (CMU RI) : BioE 2630 (Pitt)

Dr. John Galeotti



The content of these slides by John Galeotti, © 2012 - 2018 Carnegie Mellon University (CMU), was made possible in part by NIH NLM contract# HHSN276201000580P, and is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 2nd Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA. Permissions beyond the scope of this license may be available either from CMU or by emailing itk@galeotti.net.
The most recent version of these slides may be accessed online via <http://itk.galeotti.net/>

Mathematical Morphology

- The study of shape...
- Using Set Theory

- Most easily understood for binary images.

Binary Morphology: Basic Idea


1. Make multiple copies of a shape
2. Translate those copies around
3. Combine them with either their:
 - Union, \cup , in the case of dilation, \oplus
 - Intersection, \cap , in the case of erosion, \ominus

Dilation makes things bigger
Erosion makes things smaller


Binary Morphology: Basic Idea

- Q: How do we designate:
 - The number of copies to make?
 - The translation to apply to each copy?
- A: With a structuring element (s.e.)
 - A (typically) small binary image.
 - We will assume the s.e. always contains the origin.
- For each marked pixel in the s.e.:
 - Make a new copy of the original image
 - Translate that new copy by the coordinates of the current pixel in the s.e.


Dilation Example



$$A = \{ (2,8), (3,8), (7,8), (8,8), (5,6), (2,4), (3,4), (3,3), (4,3), (5,3), (6,3), (7,3), (7,4), (8,4) \}$$




$$B = \{ (0,0), (0,-1) \}$$




Erosion Example

- For erosion, we translate by the *negated* coordinates of the current pixel in the s.e.



Notation

- A (binary) image: f_A
- The set of marked pixels in f_A : A
 - $A = \{ (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots \}$
- A translated image or set: $f_{A(dx,dy)}$ or $A_{(dx,dy)}$
- The number of elements in A: #A
- Complement (inverse) of A: A^c
- Reflection (rotation) of A: \tilde{A}
 - $\tilde{A} = \{ (-x, -y) \mid (x, y) \in A \}$



Properties

- Dilation:

- Commutative, Associative, & Distributive
- Increasing: If $A \subseteq B$ then $A \oplus K \subseteq B \oplus K$
- Extensive: $A \subseteq A \oplus B$

- Erosion:

- Anti-extensive ($A \ominus B \subseteq A$), ... (see the text)

- Duality:

- $(A \ominus B)^c = A^c \oplus \tilde{B}$
- $(A \oplus B)^c = A^c \ominus \tilde{B}$

- Not Inverses:

- $A \neq (A \ominus B) \oplus B$
- $A \neq (A \oplus B) \ominus B$

This is actually the *opening* of A by B







This is actually the *closing* of A by B

Opening

- $f_A \circ f_B = (f_A \ominus f_B) \oplus f_B$
- Preserves the geometry of objects that are “big enough”
- Erases smaller objects
- Mental Concept:
 - “Pick up” the s.e. and place it in f_A .
 - Never place the s.e. anywhere it covers any pixels in f_A that are not marked.
 - $f_A \circ f_B =$ the set of (marked) pixels in f_A which can be covered by the s.e.

Opening Example

- Use a horizontal s.e. to remove 1-pixel thick vertical structures:




Gray-Scale Morphology


- Morphology operates on sets
- Binary images are just a set of marked pixels
- Gray-scale images contain more information
- How can we apply morphology to this extra intensity information?
- We need to somehow represent intensity as elements of a set

The Umbra


- Gray-scale morphology operates on the umbra of an image.
- Imagine a 2D image as a pixilated surface in 3D
- We can also “pixilate” the height of that surface
- The 2D image is now a 3D surface made of 3D cells



The umbra of a 1D image



The umbra of a 1D s.e.



Dilation

The Distance Transform (DT)



- Records at each pixel the distance from that pixel to the nearest boundary (or to some other feature).
- Used by other algorithms
- The DT is a solution of the Diff. Eq.:
 - $|| \nabla DT(x) || = 1,$
 - $DT(x) = 0$ on boundary
- Can compute using erosion
 - $DT(x)$ = iteration when x disappears
 - Details in the book

	1					1	1	
	1					1	1	
	1	1	1		1	2	1	
	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	
		1	2	2	3	2	1	
		1	2	3	2	2	1	
		1	2	2	1	2	1	
		1	2	1		1	1	
			1			1		
			1					

DT of a region's *interior*

Voronoi Diagram

- **Divides space**
- Related to DT
- Q: To which of a set of regions (or points) is this point the closest?
- Voronoi Diagram's boundaries = points that are equi-distant from multiple regions
- Voronoi Domain of a region = the "cell" of the Voronoi Diagram that contains the region
- Details in the text



The voronoi diagram of a set of 10 points is public domain from:
<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:2Ddim-L2norm-10site.png>

Imaging Matching (ch. 13)

- Matching iconic images
- Matching graph-theoretic representations

- Most important:
 - Eigenimages
 - Springs & Templates


Template Matching

- Template \approx a relatively small reference image for some feature we expect to see in our input image.
- Typical usage: Move the template around the input image, looking for where it “matches” the best (has the highest correlation).
- Rotation & scale can be problematic
 - Often require multiple passes if they can't be ruled out a-priori
- How “big” do we make each template?
 - Do we represent small, simple features
 - Or medium-size, more complex structures?

Eigenimages

- Goal: Identify an image by comparing it to a database of other images
- Problem: Pixel-by-pixel comparisons are too expensive to run across a large database
- Solution: Use PCA

PCA (K-L Expansion)




- **Big Picture:** Fitting a hyper-ellipsoid & then (typically) reducing dimensionality by flattening the shortest axes
- Same as fitting an $(N+1)$ -dimensional multivariate Gaussian, and then taking the level set corresponding to one standard deviation
- Mathematically, PCA reduces the dimensionality of data by mapping it to the first n eigenvectors (*principal components*) of the data's covariance matrix
- The first principal component is the eigenvector with the largest eigenvalue and corresponds to the longest axis of the ellipsoid
- The variance along an eigenvector is exactly the eigenvector's eigenvalue
- This is VERY important and VERY useful. Any questions?

Eigenimages: Procedure


- Run PCA on the training images
 - See the text for efficiency details
- Store in the database:
 - The set of dominant Eigenvectors
 - = the principle components
 - = the Eigenimages
 - For each image, store its coefficients when projected onto the Eigenimages
- Match a new image:
 - Project it onto the basis of the Eigenimages
 - Compare the resulting coefficients to those stored in the database.

Eigenimages Example

Training Images



Eigenimages / Eigenfaces



PCA

Project Onto

New Images:






Which training image(s) does each face most resemble?

Matching Simple Features

- Classification based on features
 - Ex: mean intensity, area, aspect ratio
- Idea:
 - Combine a set of shape features into a single feature vector
 - Build a statistical model of this feature vector between and across object classes in a sequence of training shapes
 - Classification of a new shape = the object class from which the new shape's feature vector most likely came.


Graph Matching: Association Graphs

- Match nodes of model to segmented patches in image
- Maximal cliques represent the most likely correspondences
 - Clique = a totally connected subgraph
- Problems: Over/under segmentation, how to develop appropriate rules, often > 1 maximal clique



Graph Matching: Springs & Templates

- Idea: When matching simple templates, we usually expect a certain arrangement between them.
- So, arrange templates using a graph structure.
- The springs are allowed to deform, but only “so” much.



Fischler and Elschlager's "Pictorial Structures" spring & template model for image matching from the early 1970s

Graph Matching: Springs & Templates

- A match is based on minimizing a total cost.
- Problem: Making sure missing a point doesn't improve the score.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cost} = & \\ & \sum_{d \in \text{templates}} \text{TemplateCost}(d, F(d)) \\ & + \\ & \sum_{d, e \in \text{ref} \times \text{ref}} \text{SpringCost}(F(d), F(e)) \\ & + \\ & \sum_{c \in (R_{\text{missing}} \times R_{\text{missing}})} \text{MissingCost}(c) \end{aligned}$$